AT AN ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY, VIRGINIA HELD ON THE 28<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF NOVEMBER 2005, AT 7:15 P.M. IN THE BOARD CHAMBERS, MONTGOMERY COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, 755 ROANOKE STREET, CHRISTIANSBURG, VIRGINIA:

PRESENT: Gary D. Creed -Chair

Steve L. Spradlin -Vice Chair Mary W. Biggs -Supervisors

Doug Marrs John A. Muffo Annette S. Perkins James D. Politis

B. Clayton Goodman, III -County Administrator

L. Carol Edmonds -Assistant County Administrator

Martin M. McMahon -County Attorney
T.C. Powers -Planning Director

Robert C. Parker -Public Information Officer Steve Sandy -Zoning Administrator

Vickie L. Swinney -Secretary, Board of Supervisors

#### CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Vice-Chair called the meeting to order and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

#### **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

Rezoning Request – Twin Creeks Mobile Home Estate, Inc-

Twin Creeks Mobile Home Estate Inc. (Agent: Gay & Neel, Inc.) request to rezone 23.8 acres from Agriculture (A-1) to Multi-Family Residential (RM-1), with proffered conditions, to allow the development of sixty (60) single-family attached (town homes) dwellings. The property is located on the south side of Rock Road (Rte. 688) approximately 0.55 miles west of the Rock Road and Radford Road (US Route 11) intersection and is identified as Tax Parcel No. 90-A-5 (Acct ID # 019380) in the Riner Magisterial District (District D). The property currently lies in an area designated as the Plum Creek Village in the Comprehensive Plan

The Zoning Administrator explained that this public hearing was continued from the Board's October 24, 2005 meeting in order for the applicant to address several concerns by the Planning Commission regarding the conceptual plans and proffered conditions. The applicant is requesting to rezone 23.8 acres from Agriculture to Multi-Family Residential to allow for the construction of 60 single-family, attached dwellings (town homes).

At their November 9, 2005 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval to the Board of Supervisors subject to numerous proffered conditions. In their discussion of this rezoning, the Planning Commission stated their concerns regarding the overcrowding of public schools and lack of active recreation facilities centrally located within the townhouse development. The Applicant did agree to work with the zoning administrator during site plan approval to ensure that active recreation facilities were in a mutually agreeable area. Another concern was addressed by the submittal of an additional proffer, that the Home Owners Association would be responsible for the private road maintenance.

During discussion Board members expressed concerns with the impact to the school system and the construction of a private road. Construction of a private road is a concern due to the recent decision by the Commonwealth Transportation Board that Montgomery County's Subdivision Ordinance is not is compliance with VDOT's private street requirement. Currently, the County allows private streets under certain circumstances. The Board asked that if the rezoning is approved with the allowance of a private street, will VDOT hold the County responsible if the subdivision ordinance is revised to correspond with VDOT's private street ruling. Staff was instructed to contact VDOT about this issue.

John Neel, Gay and Neel, Inc, agent for the applicant, addressed concerns by the Board about the private road issue. He explained that the entrance into the development is not considered a private road but an access to the development. Two entrances, which will be approved by VDOT, will be constructed for access into the development where one would travel through a private parking area. Mr. Neel also addressed concerns the Board has regarding recreational areas in the development and stormwater management plans in the development.

There being no further speakers, the public hearing was closed.

#### **Revisions to Subdivision Ordinance**

The Planning Director made a brief presentation on the next four public hearings and explained why the County is considering revising its Subdivision Ordinance. Earlier this year, VDOT completed a review of county ordinances throughout the state to determine compliance with their Rural Addition Program. Montgomery County was advised in June 2005 that VDOT determined that the County's subdivision ordinance allows new streets, intended to serve three or more occupied properties, to be constructed to a standard less than those published in VDOT's Subdivision Street Requirements. Therefore, Montgomery County was not eligible to participate in VDOT's Rural Addition program effective July 1, 2005. In order to participate in VDOT's Rural Addition Program, the County needs to amend its Subdivision Ordinance and Zoning Ordinance to require that any new street, either public or private, be designed and built to VDOT Subdivision Street Requirements. The following public hearings are proposed revisions to the

County's Subdivision Ordinance and Zoning Ordinance to reflect the necessary changes in order to satisfy VDOT's Subdivision Street Requirements.

#### An Ordinance Amending Chapter 8, Article IV, Entitled Subdivisions,

An ordinance amending Chapter 8, Article IV, entitled Subdivisions, Section 8-111 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, by changing the definition of street to mean ingress and egress to three or more parcels.

<u>Betsy Nardi</u> spoke in support of adopting the revisions to Section 8-111 and Section 8-152 of the County's Subdivision Ordinance. Ms. Nardi believes that private streets should not be built off public streets.

<u>John Neel</u> addressed the Board with concerns he has on the proposed revisions. Mr. Neel stated that the Twin Creek Mobile Home Estate, Inc. proposed development cannot be constructed if they have to build a road to VDOT standards. The topographic nature of the property does not allow for a 40 - 50 foot right-of-way that is required and allow for parking also

There being no further speakers, the public hearing was closed.

#### An Ordinance Amending Chapter 8, Article IV, Entitled Subdivisions,

An ordinance amending Chapter 8, Article IV, entitled Subdivisions, Section 8-152 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, to require private streets to be built to VDoT minimum standards and approved by VDoT prior to approval of final plat. Amendment will allow private access easements only if providing service to two (2) or less parcels.

There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

#### An Ordinance Amending Chapter 8, Article IV, Entitled Subdivisions

An ordinance amending Chapter 8, Article IV, entitled Subdivisions, Section 8-174 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, to require certification on each family subdivision plat concerning the relationship of family member for each lot being transferred and that no lot transfers have been made to this family member by property owner.

There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

#### An Ordinance Amending Chapter 10, Entitled Zoning, Section 10-21

An ordinance amending Chapter 10, entitled Zoning, Section 10-21 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, to clarify the two exceptions to lot access requirement from a VDoT road for easements serving no more than two (2) parcels and for lots divided as family subdivisions in the Agricultural (A-1) zoning district.

There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

#### **PUBLIC ADDRESS**

There being no speakers, the Public Address Session was closed.

#### **DELEGATION**

#### **Virginia Cooperative Extension**

Michelle Adcock, Virginia Cooperative Extension, presented Montgomery County's Quarterly Report for July – September 2005. Ms. Adcock provided a comprehensive report on each agent's activities.

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

On a motion by Annette S. Perkins, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously, the Consent Agenda dated November 28, 2005 was approved.

The vote on the following motion was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>	ABSENT DURING VOTE
Mary W. Biggs	None	James D. Politis
Doug Marrs		
Annette S. Perkins		
John A. Muffo		
Steve L. Spradlin		
Gary D. Creed		

#### A-FY-06-54 SHERIFF RECOVERED COSTS

On a motion by Annette S. Perkins, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the General Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, for the function and in the amount as follows:

310	Sheriff – Comp Board		\$ 3,450
320	Sheriff – County		18,060
321	Sheriff – Grants		<u>\$ 150</u>
		Total	\$21,660

The sources of the funds for the foregoing appropriation are as follows:

#### Revenue Account

441101	<b>Insurance Recoveries</b>		\$ 3,450
424401	Project Lifesaver		150
419108	Recovered Costs		18,060
		Total	\$21,660

Said resolution appropriates recovered costs from insurance, overtime worked by deputies as security, and Project Lifesaver funds.

#### R-FY-06-70 APPOINTMENT CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 27<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT COMMUNITY

On a motion by Annette S. Perkins, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby appoints **Mary B. Critzer**, in her capacity as Human Services Director, to the Criminal Justice Services Board (27<sup>th</sup> District Community) effective November 29, 2005 and expiring June 30, 2006.

Said appointment fills the unexpired term of Stephania Munson, resigned.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That employees appointed to Boards/ Commissions/ Authorities as a representative for Montgomery County, such appointment is contingent upon their continued employment with the County and that any such termination or resignation from employment would also constitute a voluntary resignation from such board/commission/authority.

#### R-FY-06-71 APPOINTMENT DISABILITY SERVICES BOARD

On a motion by Annette S. Perkins, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby appoints **Mary B. Critzer,** in her capacity as Human Services Director, to the Disability Services Board effective November 29, 2005 and expiring March 24, 2006.

Said appointment fills the unexpired term of Stephania Munson, resigned.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that employees appointed to boards/commissions/authorities as a representative for Montgomery County, such appointment is contingent upon their continued employment with the County and that any such termination or resignation from employment would also constitute a voluntary resignation from such board/commission/authority.

#### **OLD BUSINESS**

# ORD-FY-06-17 AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2004-2005 CHANGES TO THE PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF ACT OF 1998.

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Personal Property Tax Relief Act of 1998, Virginia Code §§58.1-3523, et seq. ("PPTRA"), has been substantially modified by the enactment of Chapter 1 of the Acts of Assembly, 2004 Special Session I (Senate Bill 5005), and the provisions of Item 503 of Chapter 951 of the 2005 Acts of Assembly (the 2005 revisions to the 2004-06 Appropriations Act, hereinafter cited as the "2005 Appropriations Act"); and

WHEREAS, These legislative enactments require the County of Montgomery, Virginia, to take affirmative steps to implement these changes and to provide for the computation and allocation of relief provided pursuant to the PPTRA as revised; and

WHEREAS, These legislative enactments provide for the appropriation to the County of Montgomery, Virginia, commencing in 2006, of a fixed sum to be used exclusively for the provision of tax relief to owners of qualifying personal use vehicles that are subject to the personal property tax ("PPT") on such vehicles and provide the opportunity for the County of Montgomery, Virginia, to fashion a program of tax relief that serves the best interest of its citizenry.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, as follows:

#### §1. Purpose; Definition; Relation to other Ordinances

- (a) The purpose of this Ordinance is to provide for the implementation of the changes to PPTRA affected by legislation adopted during the 2004 Special Session I and the 2005 Regular Session of the General Assembly of Virginia.
- (b) Terms used in this Ordinance that have defined meanings set forth in PPTRA shall have the same meanings as set forth in Virginia Code §58.1-3523, as amended.
- (c) To the extent that the provisions of this Ordinance conflict with any prior Ordinance or provision of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, this Ordinance shall control.

#### §2. Method of Computing and Reflecting Tax Relief

- (a) For tax years commencing in 2006, the County of Montgomery, Virginia, adopts the provisions of Item 503.E of the 2005 Appropriations Act, providing for the computation of tax relief as a specific dollar amount to be offset against the total taxes that would otherwise be due but for PPTRA and the reporting of such specific dollar relief on the tax bill.
- (b) The Board of Supervisors shall set the annual percentage rate of tax relief by resolution at such a level that it is anticipated fully to exhaust PPTRA relief funds provided to the County of Montgomery, Virginia, by the Commonwealth of Virginia. Any amount of PPTRA relief not used within the County of Montgomery's Fiscal year shall be carried forward and used to increase the funds available for personal property tax relief in the following Fiscal year.
- (c) Personal property tax bills shall set forth on their face the specific dollar amount of relief credited with respect to each qualifying vehicle, together with an explanation of the general manner in which relief is allocated.

#### §3 Allocation of Relief among Taxpayers.

- (a) Allocation of PPTRA relief shall be provided in accordance with the general provisions of this section as implemented by the specific provisions of the County's annual Resolution relating to PPTRA relief.
- (b) Relief shall be allocated in such a manner as to eliminate personal property taxation of each qualifying vehicle with an assessed value of \$1,000 or less.
- (c) Relief with respect to qualifying vehicles with assessed values of more than \$1,000 shall be provided at a percentage rate, annually fixed by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution and applied to the first \$20,000 in value of each such qualifying vehicle, that is estimated fully to use all available state PPTRA relief.

#### §4. Transitional Provisions.

(a) Pursuant to authority conferred in Item 503D of the 2005 Appropriations Act, the Montgomery County Treasurer is authorized to issue a supplemental personal property tax bill in the amount of 100 percent of tax due without regard to any former entitlement to State PPTRA relief, plus applicable penalties and interest, to any taxpayer whose taxes with respect to a qualifying vehicle for tax year 2005 or any prior tax year remain unpaid on September 1, 2006, or such date as state funds for reimbursement of the State share of such bill have become unavailable, whichever earlier occurs.

(b) Penalty and interest with respect to bills issued pursuant to subsection (a) of this section shall be computed on the entire amount of tax owed. Interest shall be computed at the rate provided in Section 2-33 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, from the original due date of the tax.

The vote on the foregoing ordinance was as follows:

AYE
Doug Marrs
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis
John A. Muffo
Mary W. Biggs
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### R-FY-06-72 SIX-YEAR SECONDARY ROAD IMPROVEMENT PLAN

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia, in cooperation with representatives of the Virginia Department of Transportation, have prepared a proposed Six-Year Plan for Montgomery County listing improvements proposed on the State Secondary Highway System in Montgomery County for which funds are to be budgeted in fiscal years 2006-2012; and

WHEREAS, A duly advertised public hearing was conducted at the Montgomery County Government Center in Christiansburg, Virginia at 7:15 p.m. on Tuesday, October 11, 2005 for the purpose of informing interested citizens of the proposed Six-Year Plan for soliciting public input into the planning process in accordance with Section 33.1-70.01 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended; and

WHEREAS, This Board has given due consideration to such input and other factors pertaining to improvements of the State Secondary Highway System in Montgomery County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby approves the aforementioned Six-Year Plan for Improvement of the State Secondary Highway System in Montgomery County for fiscal years 2006-2012, attached as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That adoption of this plan also establishes priorities for preparation of the annual budget for the fiscal year 2006-2007 by the Virginia Department of Transportation Resident Engineer.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis
John A. Muffo
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

### EXHIBIT A IS ATTACHED Secondary System Construction Program FY 2006-2007 through FY 2001-2012

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

Proposed Resolution Requesting VDOT to Conduct a Traffic Analysis in order to Consider a Request to Change the Status of Prices Fork Road from a Secondary Road to a Primary Road

The proposed resolution failed due to lack of a motion.

#### A-FY-06-55 RINER FIRE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION RADIO EQUIPMENT REPAIRS

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by Gary D. Creed and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the General Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006 for the function and in the amount as follows:

330 Fire and Rescue

\$25,000

The source of the funds for the foregoing appropriation is as follows:

Revenue Account

45120 Designated Fund Balance-Capital Reserve

\$25,000

Said resolution appropriates a portion of the Capital Reserve Fund Balance to cover the cost of repairs to the Riner Fire Department's radio system.

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

AYE
John A. Muffo
Mary W. Biggs
Doug Marrs
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### A-FY-06-56 SHERIFF SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION PRICES MOUNTAIN RADIO TOWER

On a motion by Doug Marrs, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the General Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006 for the function and in the amount as follows:

320 Sheriff - County

\$40,000

The source of the funds for the foregoing appropriation is as follows:

Revenue Account

451205 Designated Fund Balance-Capital Reserve

\$40,000

Said resolution appropriates a portion of the Capital Reserve Fund Balance to cover the cost of replacing the radio tower on Price Mountain.

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

AYE
Mary W. Biggs
None
Doug Marrs
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis
John A. Muffo
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### **BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS**

<u>Supervisor Biggs</u> stressed the need for the Board to discuss problems with development that impacts services and schools. Growth is inevitable and the County needs to plan on how it is going to provide the infrastructure needed. Supervisor Biggs suggested holding a joint meeting with all agencies involved, such as the Schools, Planning Commission, and Virginia Tech.

<u>Supervisor Marrs</u> agreed with Supervisor Biggs that Montgomery County needs to plan for the future. He asked if the County was in the process of studying cash proffers. The County Administrator reported that the County has not started the process of developing a plan for a study on cash proffers. He explained that they would need to involve special interest groups, such as the Home Builders Association and Real Estate Association, as well as the two Towns. The County Administrator recommended that he and the Planning Director meet and develop an action plan by December 19, 2005.

Supervisor Creed believes that if the Town of Blacksburg and Town of Christiansburg are not interested in participating in a cash proffer study then it would not be beneficial for the County to continue. Staff was instructed to poll the Towns first to see if they would like to participate in the study before proceeding.

<u>Supervisor Muffo</u> believes the Board of Supervisors needs to meet with the School Board to discuss the issue of overcrowding of schools. He stated that people are moving to Montgomery County due to the growth at Virginia Tech, which is creating a need for housing. There needs to be a plan for development.

<u>Supervisor Spradlin</u> agreed there is a need for a Board retreat. There are numerous issues that need to be discussed and the Board needs to develop a plan for future growth and how to fund the need for the additional infrastructure.

#### **ADDENDUM**

On a motion by James D. Politis, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously, the following addendum dated November 28, 2005 was added to the agenda under Closed Meeting:

Section 2.2-3711

- Consultation with Legal Counsel and Briefings from Staff
  Members or Consultants Pertaining to Actual or Probable
  Litigation, Where Such Consultation or Briefing in Open Meeting
  Would Adversely Affect the Negotiating or Litigating Posture of
  the Public Body; and Consultation with Legal Counsel Employed
  or Retained by a Public Body Regarding Specific Legal Matters
  Requiring Provision of Legal Advice by Such Counsel
- 1. Town of Christiansburg

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

(7)

AYE
Doug Marrs
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis
John A. Muffo
Mary W. Biggs
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### **INTO CLOSED MEETING**

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by James D. Politis and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Closed Meeting for the purpose of discussing the following:

Section 2.2-3711

- (1) Discussion, Consideration or Interviews of
  Prospective Candidates for Employment; Assignment,
  Appointment, Promotion, Performance, Demotion,
  Salaries, Disciplining or Resignation of Specific Officers,
  Appointees or Employees of Any Public Body
  - 1. Personnel
  - 2. Planning Commission Appointments

- (7) Consultation with Legal Counsel and Briefings from Staff
  Members or Consultants Pertaining to Actual or Probable
  Litigation, Where Such Consultation or Briefing in Open
  Meeting Would Adversely Affect the Negotiating or
  Litigating Posture of the Public Body; and Consultation
  with Legal Counsel Employed or Retained by a Public
  Body Regarding Specific Legal Matters Requiring
  Provision of Legal Advice by Such Counsel
  - 1. Town of Christiansburg

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
John A. Muffo
None
James D. Politis
Annette S. Perkins
Doug Marrs
Mary W. Biggs
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### **OUT OF CLOSED MEETING**

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Closed Meeting to return to Regular Session.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE
John A. Muffo
James D. Politis
Annette S. Perkins
Doug Marrs
Mary W. Biggs
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### **CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING**

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County has convened a Closed Meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby certifies that to the best of each member's knowledge (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion conveying the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board.

#### VOTE

**AYES** 

Mary W. Biggs John A. Muffo James D. Politis Annette S. Perkins Doug Marrs Steve L. Spradlin Gary D. Creed

<u>NAYS</u>

None

ABSENT DURING VOTE

None

ABSENT DURING MEETING

None

#### **OTHER BUSINESS**

#### R-FY-06-73

## RESOLUTION CLARIFYING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' TWO TERM LIMIT POLICY ON CITIZEN APPOINTMENTS MADE BY THE BOARD TO CERTAIN BOARDS, AUTHORITIES AND COMMISSIONS

On a motion by Doug Marrs, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors adopted a Resolution on April 8, 2002, and later amended by Resolution on December 15, 2003, establishing term limits on citizen appointments made by the Board of Supervisors to certain Boards, Authorities and Commissions listed in the Resolution to not exceed two (2) full consecutive terms; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors desires to clarify when a citizen may re-apply for appointment by the Board to a Board, Authority or Commission after serving two (2) full consecutive terms on that same Board, Authority or Commission; and

WHEREAS, A citizen who has served two full consecutive terms on one of the Boards, Authorities or Commissions with term limits may re-apply to the Board for appointment to that same Board, Authority or Commission six (6) months after his or her second full term of office expired.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, that the Board hereby clarifies and amends its term limit policy on Board appointments to certain Boards, Commissions, or Authorities by establishing the policy that a citizen may re-apply at anytime for appointment to the same Board, Commission or Authority six (6) months after the expiration of his or her second full consecutive term.

The vote on the foregoing resolution was as follows:

AYE
Mary W. Biggs
None
Doug Marrs
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis
John A. Muffo
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Doug Marrs and carried unanimously, the Board adjourned to Monday, December 18, 2005 at 6:00 p.m.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE

NAY

Annette S. Perkins None
Doug Marrs
Mary W. Biggs
James D. Politis
John A. Muffo
Steve L. Spradlin
Gary D. Creed

The meeting adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_ATTEST: \_\_\_\_B. Clayton Goodman, III
Chair County Administrator